

-----Original Message-----

From: Jim Grundstrom <[jgrundstrom@fitchsystems.com](mailto:jgrundstrom@fitchsystems.com)>

To: Josh Ball <[jball@uppc.com](mailto:jball@uppc.com)>

Cc: Jim Grundstrom <[jgrundstrom@fitchsystems.com](mailto:jgrundstrom@fitchsystems.com)>; crsundberg <[crsundberg@gmail.com](mailto:crsundberg@gmail.com)>; Woods, Lee <[woodslee@fitchsystems.com](mailto:woodslee@fitchsystems.com)>; Mike Nardi <[mike.nardi@adl.com](mailto:mike.nardi@adl.com)>; David Grigg <[dgrigg@outlook.com](mailto:dgrigg@outlook.com)>; Ervin <[erwin@outlook.com](mailto:erwin@outlook.com)>; bbussone <[bbussone@umh.com](mailto:bbussone@umh.com)>; Denise Platteborze <[denise.platteborze@gmail.com](mailto:denise.platteborze@gmail.com)>

Sent: Thu, Oct 25, 2018 4:04 pm

Subject: Thoughts on Silver Lake

Josh,

The future plans for Silver Lake water management are on all the minds of the Board these days as we approach winter, and then of course next spring. If we understand the numbers, Silver Lake is currently 4 feet over the November target of 1479, and 5 and ½ feet over the April Target of 1477.5.

Would it be reasonable to ask your plans for the elevation strategy this early going into next spring?

Higher retained water elevations in Silver Lake during the spring and early summer could potentially help satisfy summer needed elevations in the Hoist. One might conclude that the target for Silver Lake of 1477.5 was much lower than adequate to be prepared for summer seasonal dry and hot periods without supplemental rains.

Often, we have waited and wondered what the future might hold. We would very much like to have a lunch gathering if possible with the whole board this Fall and certainly other times as next winter departs.

Thanks so very much,

Jim Grundstrom